

Quantum Group Sheaf and Quantum Manifolds

I.V.Volovich *

Centro Matematico V.Volterra
Universita di Roma Tor Vergata

Abstract

The problem of introducing a dependence of elements of quantum group on classical parameters is considered. It is suggested to interpret a homomorphism from the algebra of functions on quantum group to the algebra of sections of a sheaf of algebras on a classical manifold as describing such a dependence. It is argued that the functorial point of view of group schemes is more appropriate in quantum group field theory.

A sheaf of the Hopf algebras over the manifold (quantum sheaf) is constructed by using bosonization formulas for the algebra of functions on the quantum group $SU_q(2)$ and the theory of representations of canonical commutation relations. A family of automorphisms of the Hopf algebra depending on classical variables is described.

Quantum manifolds, i.e. manifolds with commutative and non-commutative coordinates are discussed as a generalization of supermanifolds.

Quantum group chiral fields and relations with algebraic differential calculus are discussed.

*On leave of absence from Steklov Mathematical institute, Vavilov St.42, 117966, Moscow

1. Introduction

There are numerous applications of quantum groups. One of approaches uses quantum group as a deformation of gauge group in the theory of gauge fields preserving the standard classical space-time [1]-[10].

The gauge function $g(x)$ in the standard theory of gauge fields is a map $g : M \rightarrow G$ from space-time M to a Lie group G . This function $g(x)$ can also be considered as a chiral field. There is a problem how to give a mathematical meaning to a function $g : M \rightarrow G_q$ from the classical manifold M to quantum group G_q . This problem was discussed in [1, 2, 9, 10, 7].

The purpose of this note is to suggest a construction which can be interpreted as giving a dependence of elements of quantum group on classical parameters.

Let us recall that if one has a continuous map $g : M \rightarrow G$ from a topological space M to a topological space G then there exist a natural homomorphism $F : A(G) \rightarrow A(M)$ of algebras of continuous functions corresponding to the map g . If instead of $A(G)$ one considers the noncommutative algebra of functions on a quantum group $A(G_q)$ then there is not a nontrivial homomorphism from $A(G_q)$ to the commutative algebra $A(M)$. Therefore we will consider a homomorphism F from $A(G_q)$ to the algebra of sections $B(M)$ of a sheaf B of noncommutative algebras over M and we will interpret F as giving a dependence of elements of quantum group on classical parameters from M . This definition involves the sheaf B and one can ask about the most natural choice of B . We will construct in this paper some examples of such sheaves. From the other hand if one works with an arbitrary sheaf B then one has the functoriality of the construction and it is more sensitive to speak about dependence of elements of quantum group on classical parameters. In fact we are using the idea of group schemes and representative functor [44-47,57] from algebraic geometry.

It will be introduced a family (quantum sheaf) of algebras $\{A_x\}$ parametrized by a point $x \in M$, where A_x , for a given x , is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra of functions on the quantum group $SU_q(2)$. The map $g : M \rightarrow SU_q(2)$ is interpreted as a section of this quantum sheaf. There are nontrivial commutation relations between elements from A_x and from A_y for different x and y . All algebras A_x are realized as algebras of operators in a Hilbert space which is constructed by means of the theory of representations of canonical commutation relations. In the simplest case one has the Fock representation.

Our construction is based on a generalization of representation of generators of the algebra of functions on the quantum group $SU_q(2)$ in terms of creation and annihilation operators from [11]. The bosonization from [11] is an analogue of the known bosonization formulas for generators of the q-deformation of the universal enveloping of the Lie algebra of $SU(2)$ [12]-[14]. We will present also an infinite dimensional generalization of these formulas which could be useful for construction of q-deformed gauge fields.

Note here that just simple tensor product $\otimes_x A_x$ of the same algebra $A_x = A$ is not suitable for our purpose of receiving the smooth function $g(x)$.

We will discuss also a possible relation of our construction with the algebraic differential calculus on quantum groups [17]-[26],[1]-[10].

Quantum manifolds, i.e.manifolds with commuting and noncommuting coordinates will be discussed as a generalization of supermanifolds.

2. Quantum Group Sheaf and Group Schemes

Let us recall some definitions and introduce notations. We will be interested in the notion of a sheaf of algebras on a topological space M , see for example [34]. Let K will be a field of real numbers R or complex numbers C . We will consider algebras over the field K . Recall that presheaf B of algebras on M is a contravariant functor from the category of open subsets of M and inclusions to the category of algebras. This means that a presheaf B on M is a function which assigns, to each open set $U \subset M$, an algebra $B(U)$ and which assigns, to each pair $U \subset V$ of open sets, a homomorphism of restrictions $r_{U,V} : B(U) \rightarrow B(V)$ in such a way that $r_{U,U} = 1$ and $r_{U,V}r_{V,W} = r_{U,W}$ when $U \subset V \subset W$. For example one has the presheaves of differential functions on a differential manifold M ; of vector fields on M and so on. In the last example the algebra $B(U)$ of vector fields on U is a noncommutative one (it is a Lie algebra). Elements from $B(U)$ are called sections over U .

A presheaf B is called a sheaf if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) If $U = \cup U_\alpha$, with U_α open in M , and $s \in B(U)$ such that $s|_{U_\alpha} = 0$ for all α , then $s = 0$.
- (ii) Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be a collection of open sets in M and let $U = \cup U_\alpha$. If $s_\alpha \in B(U_\alpha)$ are given such that $s_\alpha|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} = s_\beta|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}$ for all α, β then there exist an element $s \in B(U)$ with $s|_{U_\alpha} = s_\alpha$ for all α .

The stalk of germs of B at a point $x \in M$ inherits a natural algebraic structure from the algebras $B(U)$, $U \ni x$. Any presheaf B generates a covering space and a sheaf of sections of the space. The algebra of sections of the sheaf B over M is denoted by $B(M)$.

If M and G are topological spaces and $f : M \rightarrow G$ is a continuous map then one has a homomorphism $F = F_f : A(G) \rightarrow A(M)$ of algebras of continuous functions defined by the formula $F(g) = g \circ f$ where $g \in A(G)$. One interprets such a homomorphism as describing a dependence of elements of G on variables from M .

If B and A are presheaves on M and G respectively, then an f -cohomomorphism $F : A \rightarrow B$ is a family of homomorphisms $F_U : A_U \rightarrow B(f^{-1}(U))$ for U open in G , compatible with restrictions.

If $A(G)$ is a noncommutative algebra, for example the algebra of functions on a quantum group $A(G_q)$, then there are not nontrivial homomorphisms from $A(G_q)$ to the commutative algebra $A(M)$. However one can consider a sheaf B of noncommutative algebras on M and interpret a homomorphism $F : A(G_q) \rightarrow B(M)$ as defining a dependence of elements of quantum group on classical parameters.

Quantum group sheaf is a quadruple (A, M, B, F) where A is the algebra of functions on a quantum group, M is a topological space, B is a sheaf of algebras over M and $F : A \rightarrow B(M)$ is a homomorphism of the algebra A to the algebra of sections of $B(M)$. One can take also the trivial sheaf A over M and consider a homomorphism of sheaves $F : A \rightarrow B$.

We interpret the homomorphism F as introducing a dependence of elements of quantum group on 'classical' parameters from M . For example if $a, c, \dots$ are generators of the algebra of functions on the quantum group $SU_q(2)$ (see below) satisfying to relations $ac = qca, \dots$, then $F(a)$ and $F(c)$ are sections of the sheaf B and one has relations $F(a)(x)F(c)(x) = qF(c)(x)F(a)(x)$, for $x \in M$.

Another example will be given by a map from a manifold M to the group of automorphisms of the Hopf algebra A , $x \rightarrow F(a)(x) = U_x a U_x^{-1}$, see below.

There are essentially two points of view in modern algebraic geometry, see [45]. Let us take a simple example: If $P_1, \dots, P_m$ are polynomials in n indeterminates, we may assign to them, on the one hand the subset X of C^n consisting of the points x such that $P_1(x) = \dots = P_m(x) = 0$ -this is the geometrical point of view. On the other hand we may watch the functor assigning to every commutative associative algebra A the set $X(A)$ formed by all $x \in A^n$ such that $P_1(x) = \dots = P_m(x) = 0$ - this is the functorial point of view. Now instead of defining schemes as geometric spaces endowed with sheaves of local rings, one defines schemes as functors over some category of rings and then show that the category of these functors is equivalent to some category of geometric spaces.

We deal with a set of homomorphisms $Hom(A, B)$ from the algebra A to the algebra $B(M)$ of sections of the sheaf B of algebras over M . For a given A and M one has a functor $B \rightarrow Hom(A, B)$ from the category of sheaves of algebras over M to the category of sets. This functor is an extension of the following known construction. Let G be an affine algebraic group, $A = K[G]$ is the ring of regular functions on G and B is an arbitrary K -algebra. Then the functor $B \rightarrow G(B) = Hom(A, B)$ is in fact a functor from the category of K -algebras to the category of groups. It was introduced by A.Grothendieck and it is called the group scheme over K , see [44]-[46],[57]. An approach to quantum groups in terms of group schemes has been actually used in [1] for some special cases. A general case was mentioned in [48].

3. Bosonization of $SU_q(2)$

Let us recall that the algebra of functions $A = Fun(SU_q(2))$ on the quantum group $SU_q(2)$, $0 < q < 1$ is the Hopf algebra which is generated by the elements a, c, a^* and c^* and relations [27, 28, 18, 49]:

$$ac = qca, \quad ca^* = qa^*c, \quad c^*c = cc^*, \quad (1)$$

$$aa^* + q^2c^*c = 1, \quad a^*a + cc^* = 1.$$

Consider a matrix g of the following canonical form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & -qc^* \\ c & a^* \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the requirement of the unitarity condition

$$gg^* = g^*g = I$$

is equivalent to the relations (1). The coproduct $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is defined by the standard formula:

$$\Delta(g_{ij}) = \sum g_{ik} \otimes g_{kj}$$

The relations (1) have a representation [11] in terms of standard creation and annihilation operators α and α^* satisfying to the canonical commutation relations

$$[\alpha, \alpha^*] = 1 \quad (2)$$

If one puts

$$a = (1 - q^{2(N+1)})^{1/2} (N+1)^{-1/2} \alpha \quad (3)$$

$$c = e^{i\theta} q^N \quad (4)$$

where θ is a real number and

$$N = \alpha^* \alpha \quad (5)$$

then relations (1) are satisfied. If one defines $q = e^{-\beta}$, $\beta > 0$, then

$$q^N = e^{-\beta N}$$

One can define the operators α and α^* in terms of a and c as follows:

$$N = -\frac{1}{2\beta} \log c^* c,$$

$$\alpha = (1 - q^{2(N+1)})^{1/2} (N+1)^{-1/2} a$$

Therefore if one ignores the known problems with unboundedness of creation and annihilation operators one has the following

Proposition 1. *There is a one to one correspondence (modulo dilatation $c \rightarrow e^{i\theta} c$) between representations of the algebra A of functions on the quantum group $SU_q(2)$ and representations of canonical commutation relations (2).*

One can use this proposition to reduce the theorem on classification of irreducible representations of A [29, 30] to the known Stone - von Neumann theorem on uniqueness of irreducible representations of canonical commutation relations in the Weyl form [31]-[33].

Now we construct a family of algebras A_f with generators a_f, c_f, a_f^*, c_f^* parametrized by elements f from a Hilbert space.

4. Representations of canonical commutation relations and quantum groups

Let H be a complex pre-Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. A representation of the canonical commutation relations (CCR) over H is a map $f \rightarrow W(f)$ of H into the unitary operators $U(\mathcal{H})$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$W(f_1)W(f_2) = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2} \text{Im}(f_1, f_2)\right\} W(f_1 + f_2)$$

such that for each $f \in H$ the map $\lambda \rightarrow W(\lambda f)$ of R into $U(\mathcal{H})$ is strongly continuous [31, 33]. There exist self-adjoint operators $R(f)$ such that $W(f) = \exp\{iR(f)\}$. One constructs the annihilation and creation operators:

$$b(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(R(f) + iR(if)),$$

$$b^*(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(R(f) - iR(if))$$

They satisfy

$$[b(f_1), b^*(f_2)] = (f_1, f_2)$$

For a cyclic representation with a vacuum vector Ω one introduces the generating functional $\mu(f)$ defined by

$$\mu(f) = (\Omega, W(f)\Omega)$$

The generating functional characterizes the representation of CCR. In particular

$$\mu(f) = \exp(-\frac{1}{4}||f||)$$

corresponds to the Fock representation.

Now for any non-zero f from H let us define

$$\alpha_f = \frac{b(f)}{||f||}, \quad \alpha_f^* = \frac{b(f)^*}{||f||}$$

Then one has

$$[\alpha_f, \alpha_f^*] = 1$$

and one can use the bosonization formulas (3)-(5) to construct operators

$$a_f = (1 - q^{2(N_f+1)})^{1/2} (N_f + 1)^{-1/2} \alpha_f,$$

$$c_f = e^{i\theta_f} q^{N_f}, \quad N_f = \alpha_f^* \alpha_f$$

satisfying the relations (1)

$$a_f c_f = q c_f a_f$$

etc. These operators generate the Hopf algebra A_f . One has the family of unitary matrices g_f ,

$$g_f = \begin{pmatrix} a_f & -q c_f^* \\ c_f & a_f^* \end{pmatrix},$$

$$g_f g_f^* = g_f^* g_f = I$$

For $f = 0$ one puts $\alpha_f = \alpha$. We have proved the following

Proposition 2. *There exists a family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_f\}$ of the Hopf algebras of operators in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that for any f from the pre-Hilbert space H the algebra A_f is isomorphic to the algebra A of functions on the quantum group $SU_q(2)$.*

It would be interesting to give an axiomatic characterization of the quantum sheaf $\mathcal{A}$. To this end one needs to describe algebraic relations between elements from A_f and A_h that could give properties of the transition functions of the bundle.

5. Localization

Up to now the pre-Hilbert space H was an abstract space. To relate our construction with the classical manifold M one can consider an arbitrary map from M to H , i.e. one considers a function f_x depending on $x \in M$ and taking values in H . Then operators

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{b(f_x)}{||f_x||}, \quad \alpha(x)^* = \frac{b(f_x)^*}{||f_x||}$$

satisfy the relations

$$[\alpha(x), \alpha(x)^*] = 1 \quad (6)$$

and we can use them to construct generators $a(x)$, $c(x)$ of a Hopf algebra depending on the variable $x \in M$. To be more concrete let us take $M = R^d$, $H = L^2(R^d)$, fix a non-zero function $f \in H$ and define

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{b(f(x - \cdot))}{\|f\|}, \quad \alpha(x)^* = \frac{b(f(x - \cdot))^*}{\|f\|}$$

If one uses the standard notations such as

$$b(f) = \int b(u)f(u)du$$

$$[b(u), b^*(u')] = \delta(u - u')$$

then

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{\|f\|} \int b(u)f(x - u)du$$

We get commutation relations (6) and one defines operators

$$a(x) = (1 - q^{2(N(x)+1)})^{1/2} (N(x) + 1)^{-1/2} \alpha(x),$$

$$c(x) = e^{i\theta(x)} q^{N(x)}, \quad N(x) = \alpha(x)^* \alpha(x)$$

satisfying relations (1) depending on x :

$$a(x)c(x) = qc(x)a(x)$$

etc. Here $\theta(x)$ is a real valued function.

Therefore we have constructed a map $g : R^d \rightarrow SU_q(2)$,

$$g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & -qc^*(x) \\ c(x) & a^*(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

and one has the family of algebras $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_x\}$ for $x \in R^d$.

6. Quantum manifolds

We have discussed how to introduce a dependence of elements of quantum group from classical parameters. One can compare this with a map from a classical manifold to the Grassmann algebra, $x \rightarrow \eta(x)$, where

$$\eta(x)\eta(y) + \eta(y)\eta(x) = 0$$

It is rather natural to consider in this context also a noncommutative generalization of supermanifolds. We will make here only a few simple remarks. We have discussed in the Introduction two points of view in algebraic geometry: the geometrical approach and the functorial one. Accordingly there are two approaches to supermanifolds. About the geometrical approach see for example [51, 52] and about the functorial one, for

example, [53]-[55]. Therefore one can do two corresponding approaches in the noncommutative case which will be, generally, not necessary equivalent each to other. One can define quantum manifold in any of these approaches.

Supermanifold $M^{m,n}$, see for example [51, 52], has local coordinates (x^μ, η^a) , $\mu = 1, \dots, m, a = 1, \dots, n$, where x^μ are commutative coordinates, $x^\mu x^\nu = x^\nu x^\mu$ and η^a are anticommutative ones, $\eta^a \eta^b = -\eta^b \eta^a$. A natural generalization of supermanifold is a quantum manifold with coordinates (x^μ, η^a) where x^a are again commutative ones and η^a are generators of a noncommutative algebra. In particular one can take η^a satisfying the relations

$$\eta^a \eta^b = R_{ij}^{ab} \eta^i \eta^j + u^{ab}$$

where R_{ij}^{ab} and u^{ab} are numbers. This case includes CCR, quantum groups and Clifford algebras.

Quantum manifold is defined by means of a sheaf of noncommutative algebra with elements

$$f(x, \eta) = \sum f_\alpha(x) \eta^\alpha,$$

where α is a multiindex and the sum could be infinite. It is a generalization of supermanifold and it also generalizes the Kaluza-Klein approach. If one considers the simplest case of quantum manifold $M_q^{m,2}$ with m commutative $x^\mu, \mu = 1, \dots, m$ coordinates and 2 q -commutative ones (Manin's plane)[49, 50]:

$$\eta^1 \eta^2 = q \eta^2 \eta^1$$

then one sees that the quantum manifold interpolates between a supermanifold, when $q = -1$ and the Kaluza-Klein type approach, when $q = 1$ and one gets two extra commuting coordinates. Note that because quantum manifold has commuting coordinates it is different from so called super quantum groups and also different from the A. Connes noncommutative space. The physical picture behind quantum manifold is essentially different one. Perhaps a proper analogy for quantum manifold is an adèle, with real and p -adic coordinates, see [56] and [39] for references to a physical discussion.

7. Discussion and Conclusion

We have constructed the quantum sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of the Hopf algebras parametrized by complex square integrable functions f and real-valued functions $\theta(x)$. It would be interesting to characterize the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ from the perspective of the general sheaf theory [34]. It seems that the theory of observed algebras [15, 16] could be suitable for an invariant description of the situation.

There are considerations of formal differential calculi on quantum group at purely algebraic level without referring to the dependence of elements $g(x)$ of quantum group on classical space-time coordinates x [17]-[26]. Having constructed such objects as $g(x)$ we can define the differential form $\omega = g^{-1} dg$ where $dg = \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x^\mu} dx^\mu$. One differentiates here $g(x)$ with respect to the real variable x . The form ω satisfies to the Maurer-Cartan equation $d\omega + \omega\omega = 0$. It would be interesting to find algebraic relations between matrix elements of ω and g and to compare them with relations discussing in algebraic differential calculi [18]-[26]. Our construction is algebraically selfconsistent because g and dg are expressed by means of "preonic" fields $\alpha(x), \alpha^*(x)$. A natural next

step would be in developing a more general theory of quantum sheaves and quantum bundles.

The simplest action for the quantum group chiral field [1, 3, 10] would be

$$S = \int dx \text{Tr}_q \partial_\mu g^{-1} \partial_\mu g$$

An appropriate formalism how to deal with operator variational calculi was suggested by Adler [35]. We will not discuss the variational calculus here. Instead one can postulate some differential equations.

For example, for the q-deformed WZNW-model [1] one has

$$\partial_u(g^{-1}\partial_v g) = 0.$$

The solution of these equations is

$$g = g_1(u)g_2(v)$$

with arbitrary smooth functions g_1 and g_2 taking values in quantum group and depending on real parameters u and v .

Quantum white noise calculus [36]-[38] seems to be relevant for investigation of dynamical equations in quantum group field theory. Note that we distinguish between q -deformation and $\hbar$ -deformation (quantization), for a discussion see [39].

One can apply the localization procedure similar to that has been discussed in this note also to the bosonization of the q -deformation of universal enveloping of the Lie algebra [13]-[15]. It seems that this approach is suitable for construction quantum group gauge field and might be used for treating quantum group dynamics [39]-[43]. This subject and also differential calculus along group schemes [44]-[46],[57] will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to I.Ya.Aref'eva, G.E.Arutyunov, L.Gerritzen, S.V.Kozyrev, P.P.Kulish, P.B.Medvedev, R.Parthasarathy, K.S.Viswanathan and E.I.Zelenov for stimulating discussions. This work is supported in part by the Russian Fund of Fundamental Research.

References

- [1] I.Ya.Aref'eva and I.V. Volovich, Mod.Phys.Lett., A6 (1991) 893.
- [2] A.P.Isaev and Z.Popovicz, Phys. Lett. B281 (1992) 271; B307 (1993) 353.
- [3] V.P.Akulov, V.D.Gershun and A.I.Gumenchuk, Pis'ma Zh.Eksp.Theor.Fiz. 56 (1992) 177.

- [4] L.Castellani, Phys.Lett. B292 (1992) 93.
- [5] M.Hiroyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. 88 No 1 (1992).
- [6] S.Watamura, *Bicovariant Differential Calculus and q -deformation of Gauge Theory*, preprint HD-THEP-92-45.
- [7] T. Brzezinski and S. Majid, Phys. Lett. B 298 (1993) 339.
- [8] I.Ya.Aref'eva and G.E.Arutyunov, Geometry and Physics, 11 (1993) 409-423.
- [9] I.Aref'eva and I.V. Volovich, Phys.Lett., B264 (1991) 62
- [10] Y.Frushman, J.Lukierski, W.J.Zakrzewski, *Quantum Group σ Model*, preprint, DTR-19/92 (1992).
- [11] I.Aref'eva, R.Parthasarathy, K.S.Viswanathan and I.V. Volovich, *Coherent States, Dynamics and Semiclassical Limit on Quantum Groups*, preprint Simon Fraser Univ., SFU-HEP-108-93.
- [12] L.C.Biedenharn, J.Phys. A,Math.Gen.22(1989)L873
- [13] A.J.Macfarlane, J.Phys. A,Math.Gen.22(1989)L581.
- [14] M.Chaichain, P.P.Kulish and J.Lukierski, Phys.Lett. 262 B (1991)43.
- [15] R.Haag and D.Kastler, J.Math.Phys. 5 (1964)848.
- [16] S.Doplicher, R.Haag and J.Roberts, Comm.Math.Phys.13(1969)1.
- [17] A.Connes, Publ.Math.IHES,62(1986)41.
- [18] S.Woronowicz, Comm.Math.Phys. 111 (1986) 613; Publ.RIMS Kyoto Univ. 23 (1986) 117.
- [19] J.Wess and B.Zumino, Nucl.Phys. B18 (1990)302.
- [20] U.Carow-Watamura, M.Schlieker, S.Watamura and W.Weich, Comm.Math.Phys. 142 605 (1991).
- [21] P.Schupp, P.Watts and B.Zumino, Lett. Math. Phys. 25 (1992) 139.
- [22] P.J.M.Bongaarts and H.G.J.Pijls, Preprint, Amsterdam Univ.,1992.
- [23] A.P.Isaev and P.N.Pyatov, Phys. Lett. A179 (1993) 81.
- [24] G.E.Arutyunov and P.B.Medvedev, *Quantization of the External Algebra on a Poisson-Lie Group*, preprint, SMI-11-93, hep-th/9311096.
- [25] I.Ya.Aref'eva, G.E.Arutyunov and P.B.Medvedev, *Poisson-Lie Structures on External Algebra of $SL(2)$ and their Quantization*, preprint, SMI-5-94, hep-th/9401127.
- [26] F.Muller-Hoissen, preprint GOET-TP 97/93.
- [27] V.G.Drinfeld, Proc.Int.Congr. Math.Berkeley, 1(1986) 798.

- [28] L.D.Faddeev, N.Reshetikhin and L.A.Tachtadjan, Alg.Anal. 1 (1988) 129.
- [29] L.L Vaksman, Y.S. Soibelman, Func.Annal.Appl.22 (1988) 170.
- [30] T.Masuda, K.Mimachi, Y. Nakagami, M.Noumi and K.Ueno, J.Func.Annl. 99(1991)357.
- [31] I.E. Segal, Mathematical Problems of Relativistic Physics, Amer.Math.Soc., Providence, R.I., 1963.
- [32] J.W. Mackey, Duke Math.J.16(1949)313.
- [33] J.Glimm and A.Jaffe, Quantum Physics. Springer-Verlag, 1897.
- [34] R.Godement, Topologie Algebrique et Théorie des Faisceaux, Hermann, Paris,1958.
- [35] S.L.Adler,Generalized Quantum Dynamics, Preprint, IASSNS-HEP 93/32, (1993).
- [36] L.Accardi, M.Schurmann and W.von Waldenfels, Math.Z.198(1988)451
- [37] M.Schurmann, Comm.Mathem.Phys.(1989)
- [38] R.Hudson and K.Parthasarathy,Comm.Math. Phys.(1984)
- [39] I.Ya.Aref'eva and I.V.Volovich, Phys.Lett. B268 (1991) 179.
- [40] J. Rembieliński, Phys.Lett.B287 (1992)145; T. Brzezinski, J. Rembieliński and K.A.Smolinski,Mod. Phys.Lett.A8(1993)409.
- [41] J.Schwenk and J.Wess, Phys.Lett. B291 (1992)273.
- [42] S.V.Kozyrev, Theor.Math.Phys. 93 (1992) 87.
- [43] M.Ubriaco, Phys.Lett.A 163(1992)1.
- [44] M.Demazure, A.Grothendieck, Schemes en groupes,I,II,III, Springer Lecture Notes,151,152,153, Springer, 1970
- [45] M.Demazure and P.Gabriel, Introduction to Algebraic Geometry and Algebraic Groups, North Holland, 1980.
- [46] A.Borel, Linear algebraic groups, W.A.Benjamin,New-York,1969
- [47] R.Hartshorn, Algebraic Geometry, 1976
- [48] L.Gerritzen, On Hopf algebras related to triangular matrices,Preprint Bochum University.
- [49] Yu.I.Manin, Topics in noncommutative geometry, Princeton Univ.Press, 1991.
- [50] Yu.I.Manin, Comm.Math.Phys.123(1989)163
- [51] F.A.Berezin and D.A.Leites, Soviet Math.Dokl.16(1975)1218
- [52] Yu.I.Manin, Complex Geometry and Gauge Fields, Nauka, Moscow, 1984.
- [53] V.S.Vladimirov and I.V.Volovich, Theor.Math.Phys. 59(1984)317: 60(1985)743

- [54] A.Yu.Khrennikov, Soviet Math. Uspechi,(1988)
- [55] R.Cianci, M.Francaviglia and I.Volovich, Classical mechanics and field theory on supermanifolds, Preprints 238,239, Dipart.di Matematica, Universita di Genova, 1993
- [56] A.Weil, Number Theory, 1966.
- [57] W.C.Waterhouse, Introduction to Affine Group Schemes, Springer-Verlag, 1979.